1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA O.Z. MARTIN, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S 04-2360 MCE CMK P 12 VS. 13 JAMES TILTON¹, et al., ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING ORDER, 14 DENYING THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO 15 COMPEL, AND ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE 16 BY THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL 17 WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF COSTS 18 19 Plaintiff is proceeding without counsel and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action. Plaintiff alleges that his rights under the Americans with Disablities Act and under 21 the Eighth Amendment were violated when defendants refused to provide him with a double 22 mattress. Currently pending is plaintiff's motion to compel discovery and for sanctions. 23 In his motion to compel sanctions, plaintiff sought information concerning the duties of Captain J. Stocker. In defendants' response they correctly noted that defendant Stocker 25 had not been served. Plaintiff responded by noting that, by order filed January 4, 2005, the court 26

¹Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 25(d), James Tilton is substituted for his predecessor.

had granted his motion to file an amended complaint. By order filed January 6, 2005 the court found that the amended complaint stated a cognizable claim against defendants Almeida, Carey and Stocker; thereby making the amended complaint filed December 20, 2004 the operative complaint in this matter. The January 6, 2005 order directed plaintiff to provide information for service of process on form USM-285, a completed summons, sufficient copies of the amended complaint for service, and a notice of compliance. Plaintiff filed the required papers. (Doc. 15.)

Around the time that the court granted plaintiff's leave to amend, plaintiff filed several motions for relief (docs. 7, 8, 12), and the court switched from its old method of filing to electronic filing. It appears that the documents which plaintiff submitted for service of his amended complaint were lost in the confusion and the amended complaint was never served. This action has not been proceeding on the operative complaint. Accordingly, the court will vacate the scheduling order in this matter and order the amended complaint served on defendants.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to forward the instructions for service of process, the completed summons, copies of the amended complaint filed December 20, 2004 and copies of this order to the United States Marshal.
- 2. Within ten days from the date of this order, the United States Marshal is directed to notify defendants James Tilton², Jr. Tom L. Carey and J. Stocker of the commencement of this action and to request a waiver of service of summons in accordance with the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 566(c). Plaintiff's complaint lists four Doe defendants. The court cannot order service of process upon fictitious defendants. Upon discovering the name of any of the Doe defendants, plaintiff must promptly file a motion for leave to amend, accompanied by a proposed amended complaint identifying the additional defendant or defendants. Plaintiff is warned that undue delay in discovering the defendants' names and

²As previously noted, Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 25(d), James Tilton is substituted for his predecessor Edward Almeida, Jr. (who was then replaced by Jeanne Woodford).

seeking leave to amend may result in the denial of leave to proceed against these defendants.

- 3. The United States Marshal is directed to retain the sealed summons and a copy of the amended complaint in their file for future use.
- 4. The United States Marshal shall file returned waivers of service of summons as well as any requests for waivers that are returned as undelivered as soon as they are received.
- 5. If a waiver of service of summons is not returned by a defendant within **twenty** days from the date of mailing the request for waiver, the United States Marshal shall:
 - a. Personally serve process and a copy of this order upon the defendant pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 U.S.C. § 566(c) and shall command all necessary assistance from the California Department of Corrections (CDC) to execute this order. The United States Marshal shall maintain the confidentiality of all information provided by the CDC pursuant to this order.
 - b. Within ten days after personal service is effected, the United States Marshal shall file the return of service for the defendant, along with evidence of any attempts to secure a waiver of service of summons and of the costs subsequently incurred in effecting service on said defendant. Said costs shall be enumerated on the USM-285 form and shall include the costs incurred by the Marshal's office for photocopying additional copies of the summons and amended complaint and for preparing new USM-285 forms, if required. Costs of service will be taxed against the personally served defendant in accordance with the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2).
- 6. Defendants shall reply to the amended complaint within the time provided by the applicable provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a).
- 7. Discovery shall not proceed until the court issues a discovery order. Generally, a discovery order will issue after defendants file their answer.

- 8. Unless otherwise ordered, all motions to dismiss, motions for summary judgment, motions concerning discovery, motions pursuant to Rules 7, 11, 12, 15, 41, 55, 56, 59 and 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and motions pursuant to Local Rule 11-110 shall be briefed pursuant to Local Rule 78-230(m). Failure to oppose such a motion timely may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion. Opposition to all other motions need be filed only as directed by the court.
- 9. If plaintiff is released from prison at any time during the pendency of this case, any party may request application of other provisions of Local Rule 78-230 in lieu of Local Rule 78-230(m). In the absence of a court order granting such a request, the provisions of Local Rule 78-230(m) will govern all motions described in #7 above regardless of plaintiff's custodial status.

 See Local Rule 1-102(d).
- 10. Pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003), plaintiff is advised of the following requirements for opposing a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies made by defendant pursuant to non-enumerated Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Such a motion is a request for dismissal of unexhausted claims without prejudice. The defendant may submit affidavits or declarations under penalty of perjury and admissible documentation to support the motion to dismiss. To oppose the motion, plaintiff may likewise file declarations under penalty of perjury and admissible documentation. Plaintiff may rely upon statements made under the penalty of perjury in the complaint if the complaint shows that plaintiff has personal knowledge of the matters stated and plaintiff calls to the court's attention those parts of the complaint upon which plaintiff relies. Plaintiff may serve and file one or more affidavits or declarations by other persons who have personal knowledge of relevant matters. Plaintiff may also rely upon written records, but plaintiff must prove that the records are what plaintiff claims they are. If plaintiff fails to contradict defendant's evidence with admissible evidence, the court may rely on the defendant's evidence. In the event both sides submit matters outside the pleadings, the court may look beyond the pleadings and decide disputed issues of fact.

If plaintiff does not serve and file a written opposition to the motion, the court may consider the failure to act as a waiver of opposition to the defendant's motion. If the defendant's motion to dismiss, whether opposed or unopposed, is granted, plaintiff's unexhausted claims will be dismissed without prejudice.

4

5

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

25

11. Pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), cert. denied, 527 U.S. 1035 (1999), and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409 (9th Cir. 1988), plaintiff is advised of the following requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment made by defendants pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Such a motion is a request for an order for judgment in favor of defendants without trial. A defendant's motion for summary judgment will set forth the facts that the defendants contend are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle the defendants to judgment. To oppose a motion for summary judgment, plaintiff must show proof of his or her claims. Plaintiff may do this in one or more of the following ways. Plaintiff may rely upon statements made under the penalty of perjury in the complaint if the complaint shows that plaintiff has personal knowledge of the matters stated and plaintiff calls to the court's attention those parts of the complaint upon which plaintiff relies. Plaintiff may serve and file one or more affidavits or declarations setting forth the facts that plaintiff believes prove plaintiff's claims; the person who signs an affidavit or declaration must have personal knowledge of the facts stated. Plaintiff may rely upon written records, but plaintiff must prove that the records are what plaintiff claims they are. Plaintiff may rely upon all or any part of the transcript of one or more depositions, answers to interrogatories, or admissions obtained in this proceeding. If plaintiff fails to contradict the defendants' evidence with counteraffidavits or other admissible evidence, the defendants' evidence may be taken as the truth and the defendants' motion for summary judgment granted. If there is some good reason why such facts are not available to plaintiff when required to oppose a motion for summary judgment, the court will consider a request to postpone considering the defendants' motion. If plaintiff does not serve and file a written opposition to the motion or a request to postpone consideration of the

Case 2:04-cv-02360-ALA Document 41 Filed 11/01/06 Page 6 of 6

1	motion, the court may consider the failure to act as a waiver of opposition to the defendants'
2	motion. If the defendants' motion for summary judgment, whether opposed or unopposed, is
3	granted, judgment will be entered for the defendants without a trial and the case will be closed.
4	12. A motion or opposition supported by unsigned affidavits or declarations will
5	be stricken.
6	13. Each party shall keep the court informed of a current address at all times while
7	the action is pending. Any change of address must be reported promptly to the court in a separate
8	document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of Change of Address." A notice of change
9	of address must be properly served on other parties. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(d), service of
10	documents at the record address of a party is fully effective. Failure to inform the court of a
11	change of address may result in the imposition of sanctions including dismissal of the action.
12	14. The Clerk of the Court shall serve upon plaintiff a copy of the Local Rules of
13	Court.
14	15. The failure of any party to comply with this order, the Federal Rules of Civil
15	Procedure, or the Local Rules of Court may result in the imposition of sanctions including, but
16	not limited to, dismissal of the action or entry of default.
17	16. The scheduling order issued on May 26, 2006 (doc. 27) is vacated.
18	17. Plaintiff's motion to compel (doc. 32) is denied as moot.
19	DATED: October 31, 2006.
20	DATED. October 51, 2000.
21	Lraig M. Kelliain
22	CRAIG M. KELLISÓN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	V